

The Gauss-Markov Model

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(u, v) &= E((u - E(u))(v - E(v))) \\ &= E(uv) - E(u)E(v)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(u) &= \text{Cov}(u, u) \\ &= E(u - E(u))^2 \\ &= E(u^2) - (E(u))^2.\end{aligned}$$

If \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are random vectors, then

$m \times 1$ $n \times 1$

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = [\text{Cov}(u_i, v_j)]_{m \times n} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{u}) = [\text{Cov}(u_i, u_j)]_{m \times m}.$$

It follows that

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = E((\mathbf{u} - E(\mathbf{u}))(\mathbf{v} - E(\mathbf{v}))')$$

$$= E(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}') - E(\mathbf{u})E(\mathbf{v}')$$

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{u}) = E((\mathbf{u} - E(\mathbf{u}))(\mathbf{u} - E(\mathbf{u}))')$$

$$= E(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}') - E(\mathbf{u})E(\mathbf{u}').$$

(Note that if $\mathbf{Z} = [z_{ij}]$, then $E(\mathbf{Z}) \equiv [E(z_{ij})]$; i.e., the expected value of a matrix is defined to be the matrix of the expected values of the elements in the original matrix.)

From these basis definitions, it is straightforward to show the following:

If $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ are fixed and \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} are random, then

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{A}\text{Cov}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\mathbf{B}'.$$

Some common special cases are

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{A}\text{Cov}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\mathbf{B}'$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}) &= \mathbf{A}\text{Cov}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})\mathbf{B}' \\ &= \mathbf{A}\text{Var}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{B}'\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}) &= \text{Cov}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}) \\ &= \mathbf{A}\text{Cov}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}' \\ &= \mathbf{A}\text{Var}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}'.\end{aligned}$$

The Gauss-Markov Model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon},$$

where

$$E(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} \text{ for some unknown } \sigma^2.$$

“Mean zero, constant variance, uncorrelated errors.”

More succinct statement of Gauss-Markov Model:

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \quad \text{Var}(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$$

or

$$E(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}), \quad \text{Var}(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}.$$

Note that the Gauss-Markov Model (GMM) is a special case of the GLM that we have been studying.

Hence, all previous results for the GLM apply to the GMM.

Suppose $\mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is an estimable function.

Find $\text{Var}(\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$, the variance of the LSE of $\mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Example: Two-treatment ANCOVA

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \gamma x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad i = 1, 2; j = 1, \dots, m$$

$$E(\varepsilon_{ij}) = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2; j = 1, \dots, m$$

$$\text{Cov}(\varepsilon_{ij}, \varepsilon_{st}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (i, j) \neq (s, t) \\ \sigma^2 & \text{if } (i, j) = (s, t). \end{cases}$$

x_{ij} is the known value of a covariate for treatment i and observation j ($i = 1, 2; j = 1, \dots, m$).

Under what conditions is γ estimable?

γ is estimable $\iff \dots?$

To find the LSE of γ , recall $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 2m & m & m & x_{..} \\ m & m & 0 & x_{1.} \\ m & 0 & m & x_{2.} \\ x_{..} & x_{1.} & x_{2.} & \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}.$$

When x is not in $\mathcal{C}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}\right)$, $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) = 3$. Thus, a GI of $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 1}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} m & 0 & x_{1.} \\ 0 & m & x_{2.} \\ x_{1.} & x_{2.} & \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Because $\begin{bmatrix} m & 0 & x_{1.} \\ 0 & m & x_{2.} \\ x_{1.} & x_{2.} & \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$ is not so easy to invert, let's consider a different strategy.

To find LSE of γ and its variance in an alternative way, consider

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{x}_1 - \bar{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{x}_2 - \bar{x}_2 \cdot \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix arises by dropping the first column of X and applying GS orthogonalization to remaining columns.

$$\begin{matrix}
 \mathbf{X} & & \mathbf{T} & = & \mathbf{W} \\
 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & x_1 \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & x_2 \end{bmatrix} & & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -\bar{x}_1. \\ 0 & 1 & -\bar{x}_2. \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & = & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & x_1 - \bar{x}_1.\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & x_2 - \bar{x}_2.\mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} .
 \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{matrix}
 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{x}_1 - \bar{x}_{1.} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{x}_2 - \bar{x}_{2.} \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \bar{x}_{1.} \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \bar{x}_{2.} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & = & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{S} & = & \mathbf{X}
 \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W'W &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{x}_1 - \bar{x}_{1.}\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{x}_2 - \bar{x}_{2.}\mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}' \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{x}_1 - \bar{x}_{1.}\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{x}_2 - \bar{x}_{2.}\mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} m & 0 & \mathbf{1}'\mathbf{x}_1 - \bar{x}_{1.}\mathbf{1}'\mathbf{1} \\ 0 & m & \mathbf{1}'\mathbf{x}_2 - \bar{x}_{2.}\mathbf{1}'\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1}'\mathbf{x}_1 - \bar{x}_{1.}\mathbf{1}'\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1}'\mathbf{x}_2 - \bar{x}_{2.}\mathbf{1}'\mathbf{1} & \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})^2 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\mathbf{W}'\mathbf{W})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{m} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{y} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{x}_1 - \bar{x}_{1\cdot}\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{x}_2 - \bar{x}_{2\cdot}\mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}' \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} y_{1\cdot} \\ y_{2\cdot} \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m y_{ij}(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i\cdot}) \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\alpha} &= (\mathbf{W}'\mathbf{W})^{-1}\mathbf{W}'\mathbf{y} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_1. \\ \bar{y}_2. \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m y_{ij}(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})}{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})^2} \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{y}) &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ &= \mathbf{WS}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{XT}\boldsymbol{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta}$ estimable $\Rightarrow \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is estimable with LSE $\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{T}\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$.

Thus, LSE of β is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{c}' & \mathbf{T} & \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\
 \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] & \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -\bar{x}_1. \\ 0 & 1 & -\bar{x}_2. \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] & \left[\begin{array}{c} \bar{y}_1. \\ \bar{y}_2. \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m y_{ij}(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})}{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})^2} \end{array} \right] \\
 = & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m y_{ij}(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})}{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})^2} &
 \end{array}$$

Note that in this case

$$\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \hat{\alpha}_3.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) &= \text{Var}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right) \\ &= \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{W}'\mathbf{W})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i\cdot})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1 (Gauss-Markov Theorem):

Suppose the GMM holds. If $c'\beta$ is estimable, then the LSE $c'\hat{\beta}$ is the best (minimum variance) linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) of $c'\beta$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1:

$c'\beta$ is estimable $\Rightarrow c' = a'X$ for some vector a .

The LSE of $c'\beta$ is

$$\begin{aligned}c'\hat{\beta} &= a'X\hat{\beta} \\ &= a'X(X'X)^{-1}X'y \\ &= a'P_Xy.\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $u + v'y$ is any other linear unbiased estimator of $c'\beta$.

Then

$$E(u + \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

$$\iff u + \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

$$\iff u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{c}'.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(u + \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{y}) &= \text{Var}(\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \text{Var}(\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ &= \text{Var}(\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ &= \text{Var}((\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ &= \text{Var}(\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \text{Var}((\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}) \\ &\quad + 2\text{Cov}((\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}).\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}((\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) &= \text{Cov}((\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{y}) \\ &= (\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X)\text{Var}(\mathbf{y})\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{a} \\ &= \sigma^2(\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{a} \\ &= \sigma^2(\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{a} \\ &= \sigma^2(\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{a} \\ &= \sigma^2(\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{a} \\ &= 0 \because \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{c}' = \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{X}.\end{aligned}$$

∴ we have

$$\text{Var}(u + \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{y}) = \text{Var}(\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \text{Var}((\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}).$$

It follows that

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \leq \text{Var}(u + \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{y})$$

with equality iff

$$\text{Var}((\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}) = 0;$$

i.e., iff

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^2(\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X)(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{a}) &= \sigma^2(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{a})'(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{a}) \\ &= \sigma^2\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{a}\|^2 \\ &= 0;\end{aligned}$$

i.e., iff

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{a};$$

i.e., iff

$$u + \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{y} \text{ is } \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \text{ the LSE of } \mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\beta}.$$



Result 4.1:

The BLUE of an estimable $c'\beta$ is uncorrelated with all linear unbiased estimators of zero.

Suppose $\mathbf{c}'_1\boldsymbol{\beta}, \dots, \mathbf{c}'_q\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are q estimable functions $\ni \mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_q$ are LI.

Let $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}'_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{c}'_q \end{bmatrix}$. Note that $\text{rank}(\mathbf{C}_{q \times p}) = q$.

$C\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}'_1\beta \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{c}'_q\beta \end{bmatrix}$ is a vector of estimable functions.

The vector of BLUEs is the vector of LSEs

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}'_1\hat{\beta} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{c}'_q\hat{\beta} \end{bmatrix} = C\hat{\beta}.$$

Because $\mathbf{c}'_1\boldsymbol{\beta}, \dots, \mathbf{c}'_q\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are estimable, \exists

$$\mathbf{a}_i \ni \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{a}_i = \mathbf{c}_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, q.$$

If we let $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}'_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}'_q \end{bmatrix}$, then $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}$.

Find $\text{Var}(\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$.

Find $\text{rank}(\text{Var}(\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}))$.

Note

$$\text{Var}(C\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 C(X'X)^{-1} C'$$

is a $q \times q$ matrix of rank q and is thus nonsingular.

We know that each component of $C\hat{\beta}$ is the BLUE of each corresponding component of $C\beta$; i.e., $c'_i\hat{\beta}$ is the BLUE of $c'_i\beta \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, q$.

Likewise, we can show that $C\hat{\beta}$ is the BLUE of $C\beta$ in the sense that

$$\text{Var}(s + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}) - \text{Var}(C\hat{\beta})$$

is nonnegative definite for all unbiased linear estimators $s + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}$ of $C\beta$.

Nonnegative Definite and Positive Definite Matrices

A symmetric matrix A is nonnegative definite (NND) if and only if

$$\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

A symmetric matrix A is positive definite (PD) if and only if

$$\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} > 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Proof that $\text{Var}(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}) - \text{Var}(\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ is NND:

$\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}$ is a linear unbiased estimator of $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$

$$\iff E(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

$$\iff \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{TX}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

$$\iff \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{TX} = \mathbf{C}.$$

Thus, we may write any linear unbiased estimator of $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ as $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}$ where $\mathbf{TX} = \mathbf{C}$.

Now $\forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ consider

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{w}'[\text{Var}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}) - \text{Var}(\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})]\mathbf{w} &= \mathbf{w}'\text{Var}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y})\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}'\text{Var}(\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\mathbf{w} \\ &= \text{Var}(\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}) - \text{Var}(\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ &\geq 0 \quad \text{by Gauss-Markov Theorem because } \dots\end{aligned}$$

(i) $\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is an estimable function:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{C} &= \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} \Rightarrow \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{w} \\ &\Rightarrow \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}').\end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}$ is a linear unbiased estimator of $\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$:

$$E(\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{T}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p.$$

(iii) $\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is the LSE of $\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and is thus the BLUE of $\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

We have shown

$$\mathbf{w}'[\text{Var}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}) - \text{Var}(\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})]\mathbf{w} \geq 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^p.$$

Thus,

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}) - \text{Var}(\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$$

is nonnegative definite (NND). □

Is it true that

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}) - \text{Var}(\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$$

is positive definite if $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}$ is a linear unbiased estimator of $\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ that is not the BLUE of $\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$?